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What is gender budgeting?

‘Gender budgeting is an application of gender mainstreaming in the budgetary process. It means a gender-based assessment of budgets, incorporating a gender perspective at all levels of the budgetary process and restructuring revenues and expenditures in order to promote gender equality.’ (CoE, 2005).
Why Gender Budgeting?

- Not merely gender mainstreaming of the budget, but a **democratic & participatory** economic governance tool.
- Budgetary process a key part of ‘citizenship’ (revenue-spending decisions)

Gender budgeting **potentially** helps to:
- Keep governments **accountable** towards high political level commitments to equality targets
- Makes technocratic budgetary process more **participatory** (in & and out-house models)
  - Caveat: technical & technocratic budgetary discourse and process
Why gender budgeting for the EU?

- Relatively small budget €143.5 billion in payment credits (per 507,416,607 citizens) compared with €312.0 billion German spending (per 80,780,000 citizens)
- Absence of a unified macroeconomic policy & welfare system
- Weak revenue system (no direct tax relationship with citizens)

However

- The (contested) power of ‘Europeanisation’
- Filling in the gaps where MS commitment is not as strong
- Creating incentives through programs co-financed with the MSs
- A test case for EU’s macro level commitment to gender equality (TEU Art.2 & 3 TEU, Art.23 Charter of Fundamental Rights)
The EU budgetary process

- Art.314 TFEU

EP & Council (priorities)  All EU institutions & agencies (budgetary needs)

European Commission

European Parliament  European Council

Conciliation Committee
What happens within the EP?

- Budgetary committee primarily responsible for all EP budgetary prerogatives
- Any committee or 40 MEPs may suggest budgetary amendments – subject to parliamentary vote.
- Non-existent gender discourse in the plenary debates
- FEMM Committee has repeatedly called for gender budgeting in budgetary opinions
- However, this would depend on:
  - Radical use of budgetary powers with specific motions questioning gender equality effects of specific spending decisions
  - Coalition building within the EP to support those motions
  - National commitment is as important in co-financed budget chapters
The paper (pilot study)

• Proposes a **methodology**, based on **capabilities approach**
• **Analyses** six budget titles in the light of the methodology
• **Reveals** lack of budgetary commitment to EU’s high level gender equality objectives
• **Highlights** **missing data and information** which makes assessment of progress difficult

The capability approach

- A non-monetary based approach to human wellbeing on the basis of access to ‘capabilities’ (Sen, 1993).
- Bottom-up, all inclusive, non-hegemonic approach
- What capabilities?
- Ideally a deliberative process, recognizing people’s agency
- Works best at micro, local levels – should best be implemented at the MS level.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Capabilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category a</td>
<td>Women’s and men’s engagement with the society</td>
<td>Capabilities to have equal shares in non-market labour and care economy, to have equal voice in family decisions, to engage in social activities, to move etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category b</td>
<td>Women’s and men’s engagement with the political and social system</td>
<td>Capabilities to have equal access to education, market, employment and politics etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category c</td>
<td>Women’s and men’s engagement with the environment</td>
<td>Capabilities to have shelter and to live in a safe, clean environment in harmony with the environment and other species etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category d</td>
<td>Women’s and men’s engagement with their personality</td>
<td>Capabilities to plan one’s own time, to have hobbies, to engage in spiritual and religious activities, to choose the education and profession one desires etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category e</td>
<td>Women’s and men’s engagement with their physicality</td>
<td>Capabilities to feel safe, to live a dignified life, to be free from physical, psychological or emotional violence, to express thoughts, desires and personality in any way, including physical appearance, without the fear of violence or intimidation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Five step methodology

Box 1: Capabilities approach to gender budgeting

Step 1: Identify capabilities key to women’s well-being and gender equality

Step 2: Match those capabilities with policies, define gender equality spectrum and impact

Step 3: Analyse policy objectives and resource allocations in the light of conclusions reached at step 2.

Step 4: Identify beneficiaries & participants

Step 5: Reflection & policy change
Budget titles analysed

- European Commission (Section III of the budget)
  - Employment, social affairs and inclusion (Title 04)
  - Home Affairs (Title 18)
  - Justice (Title 33)
  - Development and Cooperation (Title 21)
  - Research and Innovation (Title 08)
  - Education and Culture (Title 15)
- Gender budgeting should include all titles to avoid bias.
- CAP (40% of EU spending) – national hurdles against women’s access to land (Shortall, 2015).
Example – European Social Fund

Table 3: Less developed regions, investment for growth and jobs (article04 02 60)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stated policy objective</th>
<th>Investment for growth and jobs in regions where GDP per capita is less than 75% of the average of the EU 27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Associated category in MFF</td>
<td>Economic Social and Territorial Cohesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender equality spectrum</td>
<td>a, b, c, d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender equality impact</td>
<td>Direct, high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have gender equality spectrum/impact reflected in policy objectives?</td>
<td>Yes, gender equality a goal in ESF – see commentary above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount (in EUR) attached (in commitments)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5 636 300 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6 500 532 038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFF**</td>
<td>23 264.1 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data on the gender of participants/beneficiaries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not yet available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* See Annex II for the map of EU regional policy on the basis of the development status of regions.
**Overall amount attributed to the investment for growth and jobs goal in the less developed regions within the MFF.
Category

- Less developed regions (GDP/head < 75 % of EU-27 average)
- Transition regions (GDP/head between ≥ 75 % and < 90 % of EU-27 average)
- More developed regions (GDP/head ≥ 90 % of EU-27 average)
Key Finding 1
High level commitment to gender equality is not followed through into spending
• Home Affairs and Development and Cooperation particularly problematic.
• Employment & Social Affairs, Research & Innovation, Education & Culture are inconsistent.
• Particular problem for cross-cutting issues that fall within the scope of several titles
  • e.g. poverty & social exclusion, immigration and men and women’s human rights, cross-border crime and human trafficking
Key Findings 2 & 3

Budget titles are not always sufficiently transparent, in terms of:

• whether gender mainstreaming is implemented
• the specific amount attached to different policy objectives.
• Key example: Rights, Equality and Citizenship (Chapter 33 02) replacing ‘Fundamental Rights and Citizenship’, Progress and Daphne III programmes.

Target groups are not identified in funding decisions & gender-disaggregated data is often not collected for project evaluation.
Recommendations

• Gender equality should be recognised as policy objective in all budget titles
• Gender mainstreaming should be embedded as policy implementation method in all titles
• Specific amounts allocated to separate actions need to be specified in the budget to increase transparency
• Gender specific indicators and data should be systematically developed and published
Who should do what?

Gender budgeting implies:

- EU institutions, bodies and agencies should use gender equality indicators and target groups when they ask for funding.

- The Commission should make sure that these guidelines are followed and the draft budget is sufficiently transparent.

- EP should use its role in the budget adoption & discharge processes to ensure others are accountable for gender equality.